

United States District Court  
Eastern District of California

Curtis Wilson,

Plaintiff,

vs.

California Department of  
Corrections,

Defendant.

No. Civ. 04-1105 LKK PAN P

Findings and Recommendations

-oOo-

April 14, 2005, an order served upon plaintiff was returned by the postal service as undeliverable. Plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 83-182(d), which requires a party appearing pro se inform the court of any address change.

Accordingly, the court hereby recommends this action be dismissed without prejudice. L.R. 83-183(b) (dismissal appropriate where pro se plaintiff fails to notify court of current address within 60 days of return of mail by U.S. Postal

1 Service).

2 Pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), these  
3 findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States  
4 District Judge assigned to this case. Within 20 days after being  
5 served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may  
6 file written objections. The document should be captioned  
7 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations."  
8 The district judge may accept, reject, or modify these findings  
9 and recommendations in whole or in part.

10 Dated: June 29, 2005.

11 /s/ Peter A. Nowinski

12 PETER A. NOWINSKI

13 Magistrate Judge  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26